

. United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 6852	
09/240,048	01/29/1999	JOHN PATRICK AINSWORTH	068585.00006		
7590 10/21/2004			EXAMINER		
JONATHAN TYLER			SHAH, SANЛV		
KAYE SCHOL 425 PARK AV		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK,		2176			
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	1			
4 3		09/240,048		AINSWORTH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Sanjiv D. Sha	ah	2176				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress			
THE - External after aft	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reproportion of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, Ily within the statutor will apply and will exe, cause the applica	however, may a reply be time y minimum of thirty (30) days prire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 J</u>	<u>une 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims			•				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 23-61 is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5 and 23-61</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	• • •				·			
ا ال	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	airement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority unde	· 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been r	eceived.					
•	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been r	eceived in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			ed in this National	Stage			
* (application from the International Burea			ad.				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	. Of the certifie	a copies not receive	a.				
Attachmen	at(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	,	Other:					

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 23-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moshfeghi et al. (Patent # 6,076,166) in view of Tuzhilin (Patent # 6,236,978).

 Regarding claims 1, 32, 36, 41, 53, 57, Moshfeghi et al. teaches the method of dynamically generating the user presentation as shown in fig. 2, element 38. The health care network is described in col. 1, lines 16-28.

Selecting and retrieving the rules stored in response to the request and executing the rules to retrieve data is described in col. 7, lines 20-25.

Receiving and generating the presentation data is described in col. 7, lines 28-30. Since Moshfeghi et al. teaches generating the web pages it is inherent that graphical user interface (GUI) presentation is generated at the client's terminal.

Moshfeghi et al. does not specifically teach retrieving dynamic rules comprising at least one variable representing presentation information and determining value of the variable parameter as claimed. Tuzhilin does.

Specifically, Tuzhilin teaches retrieving dynamic rule as described in col. 14, lines 45-50. a variable associated with dynamic rule is described in col. 8, lines 32-52, wherein a

Art Unit: 2176

fuzzy variable is associated with the rule. Calculating the value of variable is described in col. 9, lines 1-15.

Therefore it would have been obvious for a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate dynamic rule with variable in the method of Moshfeghi et al. because it aids in computing useful association rules in data mining operation.

Regarding claim 23, 24, 25, 28, 44, 45, 46, 49, Tuzhilin teaches the claimed invention of variable parameter representing user identifier as described in col. 5, lines 10-15. Node ID in the present invention is considered equivalent to user ID.

Regarding claim 26, 47, Tuzhilin teaches the claimed invention of variable parameter representing geographic location information as described in col. 13, lines 25-30.

Regarding claim 27, 48, Tuzhilin teaches the claimed invention of user request identifier as described in col. 4, lines 1-5, wherein a Trans ID is taught. Transaction Id is equivalent to user request ID.

Regarding claims 29, 33, 50, 54, Tuzhilin teaches the claimed invention of rules including plurality of compound statements as described in col. 5, lines 35-45.

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding claims 30, 31, 51, 52, Tuzhilin teaches the claimed invention of retrieving the value from one or more database as described in col. Col. 9, lines 1-15.

Regarding claims 37, 40, 58, 61, Moshfeghi teaches the claimed invention of HTML files as described in col. 2, lines 30-35.

Regarding claim 38, 59, Moshfeghi teaches the claimed invention of scripts as described in col. 2, lines 42-45.

Regarding claim 39, 60, Moshfeghi teaches the database as shown in fig 30. It is Well-known to ordinary skill in the art that the data is stored in tables within the database.

Therefore the claimed database table is present in the database.

3. Claims 4, 5, 34, 35 42, 43, 55 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moshfeghi et al (Patent # 6,076,166) in view of Tuzhilin (Patent # 6,236,978) as applied above and further in view of Yu et al. (Patent # 5,410,693)

Regarding claims 4, 5, 34, 35, 42, 43, 55 and 56, combination of Moshfeghi et al. and Tuzhilin teaches the claimed invention as described above with respect to claims 1, 32, 36, 41, 53, 57.

Art Unit: 2176

Combination of Moshfeghi and Tuzhilin teaches a method of rule generation and generating the presentation data. However, it does not specifically teach a rule comprise a query statement or a SQL statement. Yu et al. does. Specifically Yu et al. teaches a structured query language as a set of command and syntactic rules for accessing the data as described in col. 3, lines 13-17.

Therefore it would have been obvious for a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the SQL rules as taught by Yu et al. in the method and system of Moshfeghi et al. because it enhances data security and reduces access time.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1, 4, 5, 23-61 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanjiv D. Shah whose telephone number is (703) 305-8355. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30. Beginning October 21, 2004, Examiner can be reached at (571)272-4098.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sanjiv D. Shah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2176

S.Shah October 16, 2004